

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
BEAUMONT DIVISION**

**LINDSAY ADAMS**

**PLAINTIFF,**

**VS.**

**CASE NO. 1:19-cv-152**

**ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY**  
**a corporation,**

**DEFENDANT.**

## COMPLAINT

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, LINDSAY ADAMS, by and through her undersigned attorney and for the relief hereinafter requested, alleges as follows:

## JURISDICTION

1. This action arises under the *National Flood Insurance Act*, as amended, 82 Stat. 583, 42 U.S.C. Section 4001, *et seq.*, pursuant to the insurance contract issued to the Plaintiff, as hereinafter more fully appears. This action, having arisen under an applicable federal statute, namely, 42 U.S.C. Section 4072, requires the application of federal law pursuant to the general federal jurisdiction provisions of 28 U.S.C. Section 1331.

**VENUE**

2.The property made the basis of this action is located at 4521 Briarwood Lane, Port Arthur, Texas 77642 (hereinafter referred to as the “insured property”). The insured property is situated in the Eastern District of Texas, Beaumont Division and venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 4072 and 44 C.F.R. Pt. 61, App. A(1), Article VII(R).

### **PARTIES**

3. The Plaintiff, LINDSAY ADAMS, is an individual who was the owner of the insured property at all times material to the allegations set forth in the Complaint.

4. The Defendant, ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY (hereinafter referred to as Allstate) is a private insurance company qualified to do business in the state of Texas and participates in FEMA's "Write Your Own" (WYO) program. Allstate issued a Standard Flood Insurance Policy (hereinafter referred to as "SFIP") in its own name, as a fiscal agent of the United States, to the Plaintiff. Pursuant to 44 C.F.R. Section 62.23(d) and (i)(6), Allstate is responsible for arranging the adjustment, settlement, payment and defense of all claims arising under the policy.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS** **BREACH OF CONTRACT**

5. On July 21, 2017, Allstate issued an SFIP to the Plaintiff, namely, Policy No. 4807876351, for a one (1) year period, whereby Allstate agreed to pay the Plaintiff for any direct physical loss to the insured property caused by or from a "flood" as defined by the policy. In lieu of attaching the policy hereto, the Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as a part hereof, the terms and provisions of the SFIP, as set forth in 44 C.F.R. Section 61, Appendix A(1), which is the policy issued by Allstate to the Plaintiff during the above-referenced time period.

6. The SFIP issued to the Plaintiff covered flood damage to the Plaintiff's dwelling and other structures as described under Coverage A up to a limit of \$100,000; flood damage to Plaintiff's personal property and contents under Coverage B up to a limit of \$40,000; debris removal and loss avoidance measures as described under Coverage C; and costs incurred to comply with state or local flood plain management laws or ordinances as described under

Coverage D ( also known as Increased Cost of Compliance or I.C.C.) up to a limit of \$30,000.

7. On or about August 29, 2017, the storm surge, tidal water and rain associated with Hurricane Harvey directly caused the inundation of the Plaintiff's land and the surrounding area. As a direct and proximate result of the flooding, the Plaintiff suffered a direct physical loss to her building and contents and incurred expenses for debris removal and safe storage of her property.

8. The Plaintiff timely notified the Defendant of her loss and the claim was inspected and adjusted by or on behalf of the Defendant by an "independent" adjuster who prepared an estimate which served as the basis for the Defendant's payment to the Plaintiff. Pursuant to SFIP, Art.VII.J.7., the estimate and proof of loss is furnished as " a matter of courtesy only". As a result, payment was made to the Plaintiff pursuant to her SFIP for loss or damage to her building.

9. Plaintiff disagreed with the Defendant's estimate and payment. On August 23, 2018, as required by SFIP, Art.VII.J.4., Plaintiff submitted a signed Proof of Loss with supporting documentation to Allstate for the amount she claimed under her SFIP. She objected to the estimate and payment made by Allstate on the following grounds: the estimate and payment did not include or detail the full scope of covered damages and necessary repairs; damaged items were omitted or missing; the adjuster used incorrect and/or inadequate pricing which did not accurately reflect the true cost of repairs unique to the Plaintiff's dwelling and her community; and, the adjuster used inappropriate and/or inadequate methods of repair.

10. The above described SFIP was in full force and effect at the time of the Plaintiff's loss and she has performed all conditions precedent entitling her to the coverages, payments, and benefits afforded by said policy.

11. The Defendant has failed or refused to pay the full amount due under the policy and has otherwise failed or refused to comply with the terms and provisions of the policy. As a result thereof, the Defendant has breached the contract of insurance herein described.

12. As a direct and proximate consequence of the Defendant's breach of said contract, the Plaintiff has not been fully paid or compensated for damage to her building and contents.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendant for an amount up to and including the coverage limits under Coverage A and Coverage B; any and all other amounts payable under said policy; costs and case expenses incurred in filing this action; and, any and all other appropriate relief to which the Plaintiff may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

THE CARLSON LAW FIRM, P.C.  
100 E Central Texas Expressway  
Killeen, Texas 76541  
Telephone: (254) 526-5688  
Facsimile: (254) 526-8204  
[ccarlson@carlsonattorneys.com](mailto:ccarlson@carlsonattorneys.com)

BY: /s/ Craig W. Carlson  
Craig W. Carlson  
Attorney-in-Charge  
Texas State Bar No. 00792039  
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF